12/17/2009 Walker, Kent

_				
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT C			
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT O	F NEW	YORK	10
3				\$ \$
	VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)		ģFigueira Decl. Tab
4	PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC.)		ន្ទឹ ក្នុំ 116
	TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)		2
5	PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)		6
	ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,	}		
6		, 1		
O	D1-1-4-1-FF-	, ,		
_	Plaintiffs,	,		
7)		
	vs.) NO.	07-CV-2103	
8		}		
	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,)		
9	and GOOGLE, INC.,)		
)		
10	Defendants.) }		
10	belefidanes.	, \		
		,		
11		,		
	THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)		
12	LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)		
	on behalf of themselves and all)		
13	others similarly situated,)		
)		
14	Plaintiffs,)		
	vs.	NO.	07-CV-3582	
15)		
13	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and	/ \		
7.5)		
16	GOOGLE, INC.,)		
)		
17	Defendants.	〉		
)		
18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF K	ENT W	ALKER	
	PALO ALTO, CALIFORN	IA		
19	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 17,	2009		
20	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR,	RPR.	CLR	
	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830	,		
21	JOB NO. 18312			
	00D NO. 10312			
22				
23				
24				
25				

12/17/2009 Walker, Kent

1	WALKER, KENT 116-0002			
2	bullet point reads, "When YouTube receives a takedown			
3	notice or otherwise obtains knowledge of a clip that			
4	has eluded the filtering system, it should immediately			
5	remove not only the clip or full work in question, but			
6	all other versions or excerpts of the same copyrighted			
7	programming identified in the notice, in addition to			
8	hashing and fingerprinting the copyrighted work, to			
9	prevent future unauthorized uploads as discussed			
10	above."			
11	My question is, did Google do that in			
12	February of 2007?			
13	A In for some period of time previous to			
14	that, I don't know when it started, YouTube had a			
15	system called MD5 hashing, which identified unique			
16	copies of a given video, and when we received a DMCA			
17	notice for one version, I believe we would block other			
18	versions that also had that same hash, which is, by			
19	the way, another example of a situation where we were			
20	removing or blocking items without having received the			
21	specific URL and, I believe, going beyond what the			
22	DMCA requires.			
23	The other aspects of this question, it's			
24	because the sentence is compound, the the question			
25	is derivatively compound, if you will, so it's a			